

—Original Message—

From: David Stanovich

Sent: Friday, July 14, 2006 12:11 PM

To: 'Michael Molina'

Subject: RE: EASTVIEW/KNOLL HILL

Mike,

I think for bisno just using the eastview name is all he needs. Some people in the community are not saying much because they think he is building the fields. We are not real keen on being used as a political pond we are volunteers hoping our children have somewhere to play. I think he would build first class fields but how long will that take is the question. We know what can fit there and if he works out the details with your office concerning his project I think it is still an option. We can meet at 1:30pm on Monday . See you there Thanks again for all the hard work david

----- Original Message-----

From: Michael Molina [mailto:michael.molina@lacity.org]

Sent: Friday, July 14, 2006 11:41 AM

Subject: RE: EASTVIEW/KNOLL HILL

I appreciate you refreshing my mind. What I remember is consistent with what you told me. If he was willing to back down on his insistence that you sign a pledge and we can get him to back down on his conditions, would the site once again be an option for you?

I'm fine with waiting til June 24 to meet with you and Joe. I wanted to get some things cleared up between us before we meet with Frank. Perhaps you, Joe and me can meet at 1:30pm before Frank arrives and we can clear up those misunderstandings I still feel exist, hopefully we don't piss each other off too much before Frank arrives! if that is ok with you then I'll see you July 24th at 1:30.

In the meantime, please get back to me about the Bisno property as Plan B. My sense is that they are getting a bit more willing to work with us than a few months ago, for a number of reasons.

>> "David Stanovich" <Dave.Stanovich@SSAMarine.com> 7/14/2006 11:22 AM  
>>>

Mike,

We have backed off on the bisno site because of his insistence on us signing an official contract with him to exclusively back his project of 2300 condos. In this contract it states we will no longer look for alternative sites for our jr/sr fields. Another reason is his unwillingness to dead the land to the city or eastview before his project receives all of its permits to build, to rely on a temporary site and the hope he builds his project is to much of a risk to put all of our fields in his hands. His time table for permanent fields is 2008-2009, if he gets approval from the city. He will only build the fields if he gets a zoning change to r3. as you told us in the meeting that is not likely to happen. So bisno as an option is not looking real good even for the jr field. As we told you in the past he is not happy that he received 17 cards in favor of his project out of 570 from the parents of eastview. In his own words he is not getting his monies worth out of the league. If you need any further explanations let me know. We have told him form the beginning we will support anything he gets approved from the city. Never once have we said we support 2300 condos.

Thanks for your time

Dave

----- Original Message-----

From: Michael Molina [mailto:michael.molina@lacity.org]

Sent: Thursday, July 13, 2006 2:10 PM

To: David Stanovich

Subject: RE: EASTVIEW/KNOLL HILL

Thanks Dave. Regarding Plan B, I will be sure to let you know my thoughts before I explore sites. You obviously need to be part of the process every step of the way, and if you feel I compromised that, I do apologize. I should have learned already that in Pedro there are outsiders to this process who are loud mouths and who unfortunately prefer to sabotage ideas rather than cooperate. I should have known that as soon as I asked a couple of questions about one particular site that the rumor mill would get back to you sooner than I could. And as always that rumor mill find its way to hurt my credibility or the Councilwoman's good intentions. I've learned my lesson.

Will you do me a favor - will you refresh my memory when and how the Bisno idea fell apart? I know that in the long run he has offered Junior / senior league fields. But originally we discussed moving your current operations there. Somewhere along the way, we stopped that process and focused our attention to the Sanitation yard. Why did we do that? I was trying to go through the sequence of events and for some reason I can't remember why we abandoned the Bisno concept. Was it because they wanted you to pledge to support his 2300 units? Please refresh my memory, and you candid thoughts whether that should be considered as plan B once again.

Thanks and I look forward to seeing you soon.

Mike

>» "David Stanovich" <Dave.Stanovich@SSAMarine.com> 7/13/2006 9:28 AM  
>>>

Mike,

if you put yourself in my place and keep telling people we have a place to go and it continually changes you would be a little upset yourself. Our board and especially myself are starting to look like complete fools because the plan and the place keeps changing. Yes it is good to have a plan b but when it is introduced to the public before we even here about it makes us seem like were not part of the process. We know the time and effort your office is putting in and this is not the only issue you deal with and we thank the whole office for that. As we all know time goes by fast and we do not want this to come down to the end again. On a side note I continually drive by the dog park at different times of the day and have seen only 2 dogs utilize the small dog park. I have driven by 6 times now and the most dogs I have seen in one run is 4. for these people to say it is use from dusk to dawn is not true. 2 of the 6 times there was no one in either run. I hope frank can come up with a fantastic plan that woks for everyone. I will contact Joe to see when he is available.

Thanks again for your time and effort

David Stanovich

—Original Message—

From: Michael Molina [mailto:michael.molina@lacity.org]

Sent: Wednesday, July 12, 2006 4:10 PM

To: David Stanovich

Cc: Courtney Chesla

Subject: Re: EASTVIEW/KNOLL HILL

Dear Dave,

Thanks for your note to the Councilwoman. We discussed it, along with the messages received yesterday from you and Ron.

I think it is important to get together asap to discuss the future of this project and our involvement. Would you have some time tomorrow to meet? I am available tomorrow in San Pedro from 11-1, and from 2-5. Please let me know if any of those times work for you. Feel free to invite Joe and Ron as well.

As a point of clarification, I spoke with Carol W. yesterday. I was under the impression that the geo tech would be done before we move to the design phase. I now understand that the port wants to see the design before they conduct the survey. Naturally that is completely fine, and I have therefore called Frank Cota today to get that design started as soon as possible.

Dave, it's not that I don't want to see the old Tonsich plans. In fact I have seen them. I believe we can do a better job. As I have stated, I'd rather start fresh with new ideas which could maximize Knoll Hill to its potential. I therefore don't want the old design to become our baseline. You also want a t-ball field and the dog people want to preserve their space. We really hope we can achieve that. No one said this would be easy, but I hope you at least recognize that we are working hard to resolve all issues fairly.

Yes, we are always looking for other sites. I believe we always have to be open to other alternatives. The sanitation yard concept is a perfect example of how we should always have a Plan B ready in case we run into unforeseen obstacles. I hope you would be open to that. I have directed the department of Recreation and Parks to review the open space possibilities throughout San Pedro once again and have identified some possibilities. Again, I think that is this office's job.

Naturally I remain deeply troubled by the tone of your emails of the last day. I can't stress enough of the high priority this project is to us, and the hundreds of hours we have spent on it. To that end, the Councilwoman has asked me to send you regular updates on any and all aspects of this project so that you are aware of the time and effort this office spends on providing you temporary / permanent accommodations.

Please let me know of your availability tomorrow. Mike

>> "David Stanovich" <Dave.Stanovich@SSAMarine.com> 7/12/2006 10:31 AM

Councilwomen Hahn,

We at Eastview are starting to here very disturbing news that your office is already looking for another site to move the fields. As you told the NW neighborhood council at their meeting this week. I cannot believe after 2 1/2 years of struggle we would still be in this position. It was very clear at our meeting with Scott Lane, Ralph Appey, Joe Galosic and myself that Knoll Hill was the place to go. I passed this on to all the families at Eastview on closing day and to hear that you are still looking is very disappointing.

We had another meeting with Carol Wianecki and Mike Molina concerning the lease agreement and the need to start the project a.s.a.p. Mrs. Wianecki told all of us she would be leaving on vacation and returning this week to finalize the agreement. She e-mailed your office with specific instructions because Mike wanted a geotechnical survey of the property to give to the parks and rec designers. This message was sent on June 22nd. To this date, no one has contacted Tony Giello at the Port to conduct this survey. It is now July 12th and nothing has been done. I would hate to see this project end up like the sanitation site because of the lack of urgency on your offices part.

There are already drawings of 2 diamonds, a t-ball field, and a dog park on Knoll Hill. Why you and your staff refuse to look at them is very puzzling. Mrs. Wianecki asked Mike yesterday when we could meet to finalize the agreement for Eastview as discussed and agreed in our previous meeting and once again your office will not make a commitment to the league and they do not have any designs on the project. We cannot continue to proceed like this and we cannot run out of time again because there will be no extension this time.

One thing that is imperative is that you must not continue to leave the t-ball field out of the design. We already have to share space with another league for our upper divisions. If you je; aye thejt-ball field out, it would put more pressure on the league and create an even bigger problem than we have now.

Please respond to this e-mail so we know we are headed in the right direction.

Thank you for your time,

David Stanovich